arXiv:1611.09407v1 [math.DG] 28 Nov 2016

Graded manifolds of type Δ and n-fold vector bundles[1]

Elizaveta Vishnyakova

Abstract

Vector bundles and double vector bundles or 2-fold vector bundles arise naturally for instance as base spaces for such algebraic structures as Lie algebroids, Courant algebroids and double Lie algebroids. All these structures possess a unified description using the language of supergeometry and graded manifolds of degree ≤ 2. A link between the super and classical pictures is established by the geometrization process that leads to an equivalence of the category of graded manifolds of degree ≤ 2 and the category of (double) vector bundles with additional structures. We consider a similar question in the case of $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded manifolds of type Δ, where Δ is a certain weight system, and describe a subcategory in the category of n-fold vector bundles that is equivalent to the category of graded manifolds of type Δ.

1 Introduction

Graded manifolds of type Δ. A graded manifold of type Δ, the notion that we introduce, is a natural generalization of the notion of a non-negatively $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold of degree n. We work in the category of smooth or complex-analytic graded manifolds and we use the language of the theory of sheaves and ringed spaces as in the theory of supermanifolds [L, Man].

Graded manifolds of degree n were studied by different authors for instance in the framework of the theories of Lie algebroids, Courant algebroids, double Lie algebroids and their higher generalizations [Vo1, R, BCMZ, LS, Vit, CM, JL, BGR]. We can define a non-negatively graded manifold of degree n as a supermanifold that possesses an atlas with homogeneous coordinates with weights or degrees labeled by integers $0, 1, \ldots, n$, see [Vo2]. In this paper we study non-negatively $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded manifolds, where $r \geq 1$. In case $r > 1$ the notion of a degree of a graded manifold is not sufficient to characterize the corresponding category. To illustrate this observation, consider the two times iterated tangent bundle $T(T(M))$ of a manifold M. The

[1]Supported by Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, and the University of São Paulo, Brazil, FAPESP, grant 2015/15901-9

structure sheaf of $T(T(M))$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded. Indeed, on $T(T(M))$ we can choose local charts with coordinates in the following form:

$$x_i,\ \ \mathrm{d}_1(x_j),\ \ \mathrm{d}_2(x_s),\ \ \mathrm{d}_2(\mathrm{d}_1(x_t)),$$

where (x_i) are local coordinates on the manifold M, we assume that they have weight $(0,0)$. Here d_1 and d_2 are the first and the second de Rham differentials. We assume that the local coordinates $\mathrm{d}_1(x_j)$ and $\mathrm{d}_2(x_s)$ and $\mathrm{d}_2(\mathrm{d}_1(x_t))$ have weights $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ and $(1,1)$, respectively. We see that this is a graded manifold of degree 2 with respect to the total degree. However in the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded case we can be more precise and consider graded manifolds of degree (n_1,n_2), in the $\mathbb{Z}^3$-graded case we should consider graded manifolds of degree (n_1,n_2,n_3) and so on. From this point of view $T(T(M))$ is a graded manifold of degree $(1,1)$.

Another observation here is that the numbers (n_i) also do not describe the whole picture. For example we can consider a category of graded manifolds of degree $(2,2)$ such that any object in this category possess an atlas with local coordinates of degrees $(0,0)$, $(2,0)$ and $(0,2)$. We see that in this case we can specify the definition of a graded manifold of degree $(2,2)$ and consider the category of graded manifolds of type $\Delta=\{(0,0),(2,0),(0,2)\}$. In addition we can think about 2-tuples $(0,0)$, $(2,0)$ and $(0,2)$ as about vectors in $\mathbb{K}^2$, where $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and introduce a monoid or a weight system $\Delta\subset\mathbb{K}^2$ that parametrizes degrees of local coordinates, see Definition 1 for details.

Summing up in this paper we study a more precise notion of a non-negatively $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded manifold, i.e. the notion of a graded manifold of type Δ. In addition we assume that local coordinates have parities that are related but not determined by the weights from Δ as in [Vo2]. This approach allows to reduce some questions about graded manifolds of type Δ to the study of the combinatorics of the monoid Δ. For example, we consider the root system A_2, i.e. the root system of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. Then some reflections in this root system corresponds to the dualization process in the theory of double vector bundles [GrM], see Section 3.5 for details. Another application of this approach is a more precise definition of an n-fold vector bundle. For instance this allows to distinguish the categories of double vector bundles and of double vector bundles with the trivial core. We introduce the notion of multiplicity free weight system Δ and study n-fold vector bundles of type Δ, where n is the rank of Δ, see Definitions 4, 5 and 6.

Geometrization process. A geometrization process is a functor from the category of graded manifolds to the category of usual manifolds. Such functors are well-known, for example functor of points for graded or supermanifolds and linearisation functor [BGG]. Often it is interesting to describe explicitly

classical manifolds that arise from graded manifolds. The goal of this paper is to give such a description for graded manifolds of type Δ. For motivation to study this question, consider the following tableau.

Geometric structures base space / structure	**Supergeometric structures** base space / structure
vector bundles Lie algebroids	graded manifolds of degree 1 $[Q,Q]=0$
metric vector bundles Courant algebroids	symplectic graded manifolds of degree 2 $[Q,Q]=0$
metric double vector bundle VB-Courant algebroids	graded manifolds of degree 2 $[Q,Q]=0$
?	graded manifolds of degree $n>2$ and graded manifolds of type Δ $[Q,Q]=0$

Here Q is an odd homological vector field.

The first column of this tableau represents the wold of the classical or commutative geometry, while the second column characterizes the world of supergeometry. In supergeometry together with usual "boson-type" or commuting or even variables one considers "fermion-type" or anticommuting or odd or ghost variables. This is a natural language to describe the sypersymmetry in Physics. Recently it was discovered that the language of supergeometry is very useful for example in the theory of different types of Lie algebroids. For instance A. Vaintrob [Va] established an equivalence of categories of Lie algebroids and graded manifolds of degree 1 (or in other words supermanifolds with a fixed $\mathbb{Z}$-grading) with a homological vector field Q of degree $+1$. This equivalence is represented in the first line of our tableau. Sometimes the super point of view leads to an essential simplification of the theory of Lie algebroids. For example, the definition of a Lie algebroid morphism is non-trivial. At the same time, this definition has a natural reformulation in terms of homological vector fields.

The geometrization process is a "map" from the second column to the first one. In the case of Lie algebroids however this process is trivial since graded manifolds of degree 1 are in one-to-one correspondence with vector bundles. In this case the important part is the additional structure, i.e. the homological vector field Q.

The second line of the tableau is devoted to the result of P. Ševera [S] and D. Roytenberg [R]. They independently showed that the categories of Courant algebroids and symplectic graded manifolds of degree 2 with a certain homological vector field Q are equivalent. In this case the geometrization process was used not directly. The category of not necessary symplectic graded manifolds of degree 2 was studied by D. Li-Bland in [LB]. His result corresponds to the third line of the tableau. This is an equivalence of the category of graded manifolds of degree 2 with a certain homological vector field Q and the category of metric double vector bundles with the structure of VB-Courant algebroid (VB means "vector bundle"). In other words, to any graded manifold of degree 2, Li-Bland assigned a usual manifold: metric double vector bundle and determined additional structures that corresponds to homological vector fields Q. More about applications of Courant algebroids and VB algebroid can be found in [BCMZ, Cou, GrM, Gu, KS, M2].

Other results in this direction were obtained in [BCMZ, CM, JL]. In these papers the authors assigned a usual manifold, more precise a double vector bundle with different types of additional structures, to a graded manifold of degree 2 and studied structures determined by a homological vector field Q.

We see that a natural question is to investigate the last line of this tableau. In this paper we study this problem for non-negatively $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded manifolds of type Δ. Due to the complexity we consider graded manifolds without any additional vector fields Q. This question is left for the future.

While preparation of this paper in the literature appeared another result in this direction [BGR]. The authors study there graded bundles of degree k that is related to our graded manifolds of type Δ in the case $r = 1$. More precisely in [BGR] the authors constructed a functor called the full linearization functor from the category of graded bundles of degree k (graded manifolds of degree k in our sense, i.e. the parities of coordinates not necessary coincide with the parities of degrees) to the category of symmetric k-fold vector bundles with a family of morphisms that are parametrized by the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_k$. They showed that this functor is an equivalence of categories. Note that in the present paper we consider a different category of k-fold vector bundles, i.e. k-fold vector bundles of type Δ with a family of odd commuting vector fields.

Main result. Our results can be described as follows. We fix a weight system $\Delta \subset \mathbb{K}^r$ of rank r and the parity of the basic weights, see Definition 1. Then we construct the corresponding multiplicity free weight system $\Delta' = \Delta'(\Delta)$ of rank r'. These two weight systems determine the category $\Delta\mathsf{Man}$ of graded manifolds of type Δ and the category $\Delta'\mathsf{VB}$ of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ'. Further we construct a functor $\mathbb{F} : \Delta\mathsf{Man} \to \Delta'\mathsf{VB}$. Here our

ideas are similar to the ideas from [BGR], but we came to this construction independently: we use $(r'-r)$-iterated tangent bundle $T\cdots T(\mathcal{N})$ of a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}$. Further we introduce the category $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$ of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ' with $(r'-r)$ odd commuting homological vector fields. These vector fields arise from the iterated de Rham differentials defined in the structure sheaf of $T\cdots T(\mathcal{N})$. We prove that the image of $\mathbb{F}$ coincide with $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ determines an equivalence of categories $\Delta\mathsf{Man}$ and $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$.

2 Graded manifolds of type Δ

About $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifolds of degree n and and generalization of this notion to the $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded case see in [JL] and [CM].

2.1 Weight systems.

Roughly speaking a *weight system* Δ is a monoid that parametrize weights of local coordinates of a $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded manifold. Let us choose r formal parameters $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r$, which we will call *basic weights*. It is convenient to think about α_i as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^r$ or $\mathbb{C}^r$.

Definition 1. A *weight system* is a subset

$$\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_r \tag{1}$$

satisfying the following properties:

1. Δ is finite;
2. $\{0\} \in \Delta$ and $\alpha_i \in \Delta$, $i = 1,\dots,r$;
3. if $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\delta = \sum a_i\alpha_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a_i \geqslant 0$.

Usually we also will assign a parity to any basic weight α_i. The weight 0 has always the parity $\bar{0}$. The number r is called rank of Δ.

The first condition of Definition 1 means that local coordinates of a graded manifold of type Δ may have only finite number of different weights. It is a natural agreement for a finite dimensional graded manifold. Further, the first part of the condition 2 means that, as in the theory of graded manifolds of degree n, we have an underlying manifold which structure sheaf is indicated by $0 \in \Delta$. The second part of the condition 2 is technical. The last condition shows that the structure sheaf of our graded manifold is non-negatively $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded.

Examples of weight systems are:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_2} := \{0,\, \alpha_1,\, \alpha_2,\, \alpha_1+\alpha_2\}, \quad \Delta_{\mathcal{M}_3} := \{0,\, \alpha_1,\, 2\alpha_1,\, 3\alpha_1\}. \tag{2}$$

Further we will see that the weight system $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_2}$ corresponds to a double vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_2$ and the weight system $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}_3}$ corresponds to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_3$ of degree 3.

2.2 Definition of a graded manifold of type Δ.

Let us take a weight system Δ and assume that parities of the basic weights are fixed. Consider a finite dimensional vector space V over $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, with a decomposition into a direct sum of vector subspaces $\{V_\delta\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$ parametrized by elements from Δ. In other words,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\delta\in\Delta} V_\delta.$$

We assume in addition that V_δ is a vector space of the same parity as δ. More precisely, it is a pure even or pure odd finite dimensional vector space and its parity is equal to the parity of the weight δ. (Note that if $\delta = \sum\limits_i \delta_i \in \Delta$, then we assume that the parity of δ is equal to the sum of the parities of δ_i. Since the parities of the basic weights are fixed, the parity of any weight δ is defined.) We denote by $S^*(V)$ the super-symmetric power of V. As usual we assume that the weight of a product is the sum of weights of factors. For example the weight of

$$v_{\delta_1}\cdot v_{\delta_2} \in V_{\delta_1}\cdot V_{\delta_2} \subset S^*(V),$$

where $v_{\delta_i}\in V_{\delta_i}$, is equal to $\delta_1+\delta_2$.

Consider the following $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded ringed space $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{U}_0 = V_0^*$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{U}$ is given by the following formula:

$$\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S^*\Big(\bigoplus_{\delta\in\Delta\setminus\{0\}} V_\delta\Big). \tag{3}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0}$ is the sheaf of smooth (the case $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$) or holomorphic (the case $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$) functions on $\mathcal{U}_0 = V_0^*$.

In other words, $\mathcal{U}$ is a non-negatively $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded ringed space and Δ is the set of weights of its local generators. More precisely, let us choose a basis (x_i) in V_0 and a basis (ξ^δ_j) in any V_δ. Then we can consider the set $(x_i, \xi^\delta_j)_{\delta\in\Delta\setminus\{0\}}$ as local coordinates on $\mathcal{U}$. We assign the weight 0 to any x_i and the weight

δ to any ξ_j^δ. We see that the weight system Δ parametrizes the weights of local coordinates in $\mathcal{U}$. We will call the ringed space $\mathcal{U}$ a *graded domain of type* Δ *and of dimension* $\{\dim V_\delta\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$. Note that in this case the dimension is a set of numbers parametrized by the elements from Δ.

Definition 2. • A *graded manifold of type* Δ *and of dimension* $\{\dim V_\delta\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded ringed space $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})$, that is locally isomorphic to a graded domain of type Δ and of dimension $\{\dim V_\delta\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$.

• A *morphism of graded manifolds of type* Δ is a morphism of the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded ringed spaces that preserves all weights.

We will denote the *category of graded manifolds* of type Δ by $\Delta\mathsf{Man}$. Note that a graded manifold of type Δ is defined only if we determined parities of the basic weights in Δ.

We can describe a graded manifold of type Δ in terms of atlases and local coordinates. On a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}$ of type Δ there exists an atlas such that in any local chart we can chose local coordinates of weights $\delta \in \Delta$ and we require that transition functions between any two charts preserve all weights. Note that the structure sheaf of the underlying manifold $\mathcal{N}_0$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is equal to $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})_0$ and any homogeneous subsheaf $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})_\delta$ in $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N}$, where $\delta \in \Delta$, is a $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})_0$-locally free sheaf on $\mathcal{N}_0$.

3 Examples of graded manifolds of different types.

3.1 Example 1

Graded manifolds of degree n. An example of a graded manifold of type Δ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold of degree n. Such manifolds were studied for instance in [CM] and [JL]. Let us give a precise definition.

Definition 3. A $\mathbb{Z}$*-graded manifold of degree* n is a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_n$ of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}_n}$, where

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{M}_n} = \{0, \alpha_1 \ldots, n\alpha_1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1. \tag{4}$$

The number n is called the *degree* of $\mathcal{M}_n$.

Usually we will omit the word "$\mathbb{Z}$-graded" and will speak just about graded manifolds of degree n.

3.2 Example 2

Double and r-fold vector bundles. Another example of a graded manifolds of type Δ is a double and more general an r-fold vector bundle. For instance a double vector bundle is a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_2}$, see (2). A triple vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_3$ has the weight system

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_3} := \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1+\alpha_2, \alpha_1+\alpha_3, \alpha_2+\alpha_3, \alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3\}$$

and so on. We can characterize the weight system $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_r}$ in the following way: *it has r basic weights α_i, in other words $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_r}$ has rank r, and it contains all linear combinations of α_i with coefficients 0 or 1, i.e. all linear combinations of α_i without multiplicities.*

Usually one uses the following definition of an r-fold vector bundle.

Definition 4. An *r-fold vector bundle* is a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_r}$.

Remark. This definition of an r-fold vector bundle is equivalent to a classical one. This result was obtained in [GR, Theorem 4.1]. See also [Vo1] for more details.

In this paper we will consider more general notion of an r-fold vector bundle. For a motivation let us consider an example. Assume that a double vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_2$ has a trivial core (see [M1] for definitions). In our notations this means that this double vector bundle does not have local coordinates of weight $\alpha_1+\alpha_2$. Hence we can assume that the weight system of any double vector bundle with a trivial core has the form $\{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. For our purpose it is convenient to distinguish these two categories: the category of double vector bundles and the category of double vector bundles with a trivial core. So we will speak about the category of double vector bundles of type $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_2}$ and the category of double vector bundles of type $\{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$.

Summing up, in this paper we will use the following definitions.

Definition 5. A weight system Δ is called *multiplicity free* if Δ contains only linear combinations of α_i, $i = 1, \dots, r$, with coefficients 0 or 1. In other words it contains linear combinations of α_i without multiplicities.

Clearly any multiplicity free weight system is contained in some $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_r}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_r}$ is the maximal multiplicity free system of rank r.

Definition 6. • An *r-fold vector bundle of type Δ* is a graded manifold of type Δ, where Δ is multiplicity free and has rank r.

• A *morphism of r-fold vector bundles of type Δ* is a morphism of the corresponding graded manifolds.

Let Δ be a multiplicity free weight system of rank r. We will denote the category of r-fold vector bundles of type Δ by $\Delta\mathsf{VB}$.

3.3 Example 3

Vector bundles over a graded manifold of type Δ. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a graded manifold of type Δ. In the category $\Delta\mathsf{Man}$ we can define a vector bundle in the usual way: *a vector bundle over* $\mathcal{N}$ *is a graded manifold* $\mathbb{E}$ *of type* Δ *with a morphism* $\mathbb{E} \to \mathcal{N}$ *that satisfies the condition of local triviality and that has* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$*-linear transition functions between the trivial pieces.* However sometimes it is more convenient to introduce an additional formal weight, say β, and to think about $\mathbb{E}$ as a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}$, where

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{E}} := \Delta \cup \{\beta + \delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}. \tag{5}$$

In this case β is an additional basic weight, see (1) for definitions.

In more details, let us choose local sections (e^{δ}_j) of $\mathbb{E}$ of weights $\delta \in \Delta$. (The weights of local sections are indicated by the superscript.) Now in any chart we replace the weight δ of e^{δ}_j by $\beta + \delta$. Clearly, this operation is well-defined and the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$-linearity of transition functions means that the total weight of sections of $\mathbb{E}$ is preserved. In the literature such operations are called the **shift of parity** or the **shift of weight**. In out case we speak about the shift by the weight β in $\mathbb{E}$.

Summing up, if $\mathbb{E}$ is a vector bundle over a manifold $\mathcal{N}$ of type Δ, we will assume that $\mathbb{E}$ is of type (5) for some additional weight β. Note that the weight β has no multiplicity in (5), i.e. β is contained in weights from (5) with coefficients 0 or 1. Note that converse is also true. Indeed, assume that $\mathbb{E}$ is a graded manifold of type Δ and a certain basic weight α_i has no multiplicity. Then $\mathbb{E}$ is a vector bundle over a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}$ of type

$$\Delta' := \Delta \cap \bigoplus_{j \neq i} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j.$$

The weight system Δ' satisfies conditions of Lemma 1, see below. Hence $\mathcal{N}$ is a well-defined graded manifold of type Δ'.

3.4 Example 4

The tangent bundle of a graded manifold of type Δ. For the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{N}$ of a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}$ of type Δ we will use a special weight agreement. Let us fix a basic weight α_i for some i. (Later we will use this procedure for different i. Here we show how it works for a fixed i.) Then we assume that the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{N}$ is a vector bundle shifted by $\beta - \alpha_i$, where β is an additional formal weight. For instance, in this case the de Rham differential d_{dR} must have the weight $\beta - \alpha_i$. Throughout this paper we assume that the

de Rham differential d_{dR} **is odd**. Hence the weight β has the opposite parity to α_i.

To illustrate our agreement, we consider a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_n$ of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}_n}$, see (4). We have only one basic weight α_1, hence the de Rham differential d_{dR} has the weight $\beta-\alpha_1$, where β is a formal additional basic weight, and the weight system of $T\mathcal{M}_n$ is given by the following formula:

$$\Delta_{T\mathcal{M}_n} = \{0, \alpha_1, \ldots, n\alpha_1,\ \beta-\alpha_1,\ \beta,\ \beta+\alpha_1, \ldots, \beta+(n-1)\alpha_1\}.$$

We see that $T\mathcal{M}_n$ is not a non-negatively graded manifold anymore. Indeed, the weight system $\Delta_{T\mathcal{M}_n}$ contains weights with negative coefficients, for instance, $\beta-\alpha_1$. Such a weight agreement we will need to construct a functor from the category of graded manifolds to the category of r-fold vector bundles.

3.5 Example 5

Root systems of rank 2 *and the corresponding graded manifolds.* There are the following types of root systems of rank 2, see pictures below:

$$A_1 \times A_1 \simeq D_2,\ \ A_2,\ \ B_2 \simeq C_2 \ \text{ and } \ \ G_2.$$

Denote by Δ a system of positive roots in any of these root systems. Then we can consider the corresponding category of graded manifolds of type Δ. Let us characterize these categories.



- **Case A_2.** Let us choose a system of positive roots $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta\}$, see picture above. As we have seen in Example 2, a graded manifold of type $\Delta \cup \{0\}$ is a double vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}.$$

Here $\mathbf{D}_2 \to \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{D}_2 \to \mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{M}$ are vector bundles, see [M1] for precise definition.

The root system $A_2 = \Delta \cup -\Delta \cup \{0\}$ has also a natural geometric interpretation. Indeed, we choose a chart on $\mathbf{D}_2$ with the following local coordinates:

$$x_i,\ \xi_j^\alpha,\ \xi_s^\beta,\ \xi_t^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Here x_i are local coordinates of weight 0 and ξ_j^δ are local coordinates of weight δ, where $\delta \in \Delta$. We assume that (x_i) are local coordinates on M, (x_i, ξ_j^α) are local coordinates on $\mathbf{A}$, (x_i, ξ_s^β) are local coordinates on $\mathbf{B}$ and $(x_i, \xi_j^\alpha, \xi_s^\beta, \xi_t^{\alpha+\beta})$ are local coordinates on $\mathbf{D}_2$. Consider the cotangent space $T^*\mathbf{D}_2$. It has the following local coordinates in the corresponding chart on $T^*\mathbf{D}_2$:

$$\left\{x_i,\ \xi_j^\delta,\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j^\delta}\right\}_{\delta\in\Delta}.$$

We may assume that the element $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j^\delta}$ has the weight $-\delta$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ has the weight 0. Hence, $T^*\mathbf{D}_2$ is a graded manifold of type $A_2 \cup \{0\}$.

Denote by $\hat{T}^*\mathbf{D}_2$ the graded manifold of type $A_2 \cup \{0\}$ with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{T}^*\mathbf{D}_2}$ which is locally generated by the following elements:

$$\left\{x_i,\ \xi_j^\delta,\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j^\delta}\right\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$$

and with base M. (Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{T}^*\mathbf{D}_2}$ is a well-defined subsheaf in $\mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathbf{D}_2}$.)

Further, the double vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_2$ possesses two dualization operations in the direction $\mathbf{A}$ and the direction $\mathbf{B}$. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{A}}$ the dual vector bundle in the direction $\mathbf{A}$, i.e. $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{A}} \to \mathbf{A}$ is the dual of the vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_2 \to \mathbf{A}$. Similarly we obtain $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{B}}$. In fact, $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{B}} \to \mathbf{B}$ is the dual of the vector bundle $\mathbf{D}_2 \to \mathbf{B}$.

It is well-known (see [M1]) that $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{B}}$ are again double vector bundles, hence graded manifolds. We can describe these graded

manifolds using the root system A_2. Indeed, the weight system of $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{A}}$ is

$$\Delta^{*\mathbf{A}} \cup \{0\}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta^{*\mathbf{A}} = \{\alpha, -\alpha - \beta, -\beta\}.$$

Here we can take the weights α and $-\alpha - \beta$ as basic weights, then $-\beta = \alpha + (-\alpha - \beta)$. Similar picture we have for $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{B}}$. The weight system of $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{B}}$ is

$$\Delta^{*\mathbf{B}} \cup \{0\}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta^{*\mathbf{B}} = \{\beta, -\alpha - \beta, -\alpha\}.$$

We can take the weights β and $-\alpha - \beta$ as basic weights. The structure sheaves of $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_2^{*\mathbf{B}}$ are subsheaves in the structure sheaf of $\hat{T}^*\mathbf{D}_2$.

Summing up, consider the picture for A_2 above, where we marked the roots α, β and $-\alpha - \beta$ by a cycle. We see that *all double vector bundles that we can obtain from* $\mathbf{D}_2$ *using dualizations up to isomorphism correspond to systems of positive roots in* A_2 *such that any of these systems contain exactly two marked roots.*

- **Case** B_2**.** Consider the following system of positive roots

$$\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, 2\alpha + \beta\}.$$

and the category of graded manifolds of type $\Delta \cup \{0\}$. We see that the weight β has no multiplicity in $\Delta \cup \{0\}$, therefore any graded manifold $\mathcal{E}$ of this type is a graded vector bundle over a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of type $\{0, \alpha\}$, see Example 4. (Actually according Example 4, $\mathcal{E}$ should be a vector bundle over a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of type $\{0, \alpha, 2\alpha\}$, but in this case we do not have local coordinates of weight 2α.)

Consider the graded manifold $\hat{T}^*\mathcal{E}$ of type $B_2 \cup \{0\}$ that is constructed as in case A_2. More precisely the structure sheaf of $\hat{T}^*\mathcal{E}$ is locally generated by

$$\left\{x_i,\ \xi_j^\delta,\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j^\delta}\right\}_{\delta \in \Delta}.$$

Further, we can take the dual vector bundle $\mathcal{E}^*$ of $\mathcal{E}$. It is a graded manifold of type $\Delta^* \cup \{0\}$, where

$$\Delta^* = \{\alpha, -2\alpha - \beta,\ -\beta,\ -\alpha - \beta\}.$$

The basic weights here are α and $-2\alpha - \beta$. Again we see that the weight system Δ^* can be obtained from Δ by a reflection in the root system B_2. Note that the structure sheaves of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^*$ are subsheaves in the structure sheaf of $\hat{T}^*\mathcal{E}$.

- **Case $A_1 \times A_1$.** Consider the system of positive roots $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. This weight system is multiplicity free, hence it determines the category of certain double vector bundles. Such double vector bundles are called double vector bundles with a trivial core, see [M1] for definitions. In other words it is just a sum of two vector bundles. Summing up, the category of graded manifolds of type $\Delta \cup \{0\}$ is the category of double vector bundles with a trivial core. Again reflections $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$ and $\beta \mapsto -\beta$ in the weight system $A_1 \times A_1$ correspond to dualizations of double vector bundles in different directions.

- **Case G_2.** The category of graded manifolds of type $\Delta \cup \{0\}$, where

$$\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta, 2\alpha+\beta, 3\alpha+\beta, 3\alpha+2\beta\}.$$

  We do not know any geometric interpretation in this case.

4 Construction of graded manifolds of different types

4.1 Construction 1

Let us take a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}$ of type Δ. We can associate to $\mathcal{N}$ a family of graded manifolds of different types. Let $\mathcal{N}_0$ be the underlying manifold of $\mathcal{N}$. In our notations, $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})_0$ is the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})_\delta$ are $(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N})_0$-locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{N}_0$, where $\delta \in \Delta$. Let us choose a subset $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ that satisfies the following property:

$$\textit{if } \delta \in \Delta' \textit{ and } \delta = \sum_i \delta_i \textit{ for some } \delta_i \in \Delta, \textit{ then } \delta_i \in \Delta' \textit{ for any } i. \qquad (6)$$

Lemma 1. *To any Δ' satisfying (6) we may assign a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}$ of type Δ'.*

Proof. Consider a local chart on $\mathcal{N}$ with the structure sheaf in the form (3). Clearly, we have the following inclusion of the sheaves

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0} \otimes_\mathbb{K} S^*\Big(\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta' \setminus \{0\}} V_\delta\Big) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0} \otimes_\mathbb{K} S^*\Big(\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}} V_\delta\Big).$$

By definition of Δ', the transition functions between any such charts preserve this inclusion. Gluing these charts together we get $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}$.$\square$

Lemma 2. *Let as take two weight subsystem Δ' and Δ'' in Δ satisfying (6). Then*

$$\Delta' \cap \Delta'' \quad \textit{and} \quad \Delta' \cup \Delta''$$

also satisfy (6) and determine graded manifolds.

Proof is clear.$\square$

4.2 Construction 2

Another construction is the following. Let Δ be a weight system given by (1), α_i are basic weights and $\delta = \sum\limits_i a_i\alpha_i \notin \Delta$, where $a_i \geq 0$, be a certain element from lattice (1). We set

$$\Delta' := \Delta \cup \{\delta\}.$$

Assume that a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_\Delta$ of type Δ is given. Our goal now is to "add" the weight δ and to construct a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}$ of type Δ'.

Let us take two $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\Delta})_0$-locally free sheaves $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ and $\mathcal{E}_\delta$ on the underlying space $(\mathcal{N}_\Delta)_0$ of $\mathcal{N}_\Delta$ such that the following sequence

$$0 \to \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\Delta}\big)_\delta \to \mathcal{O}_\delta \to \mathcal{E}_\delta \to 0 \tag{7}$$

is exact. Here $\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\Delta}\big)_\delta$ is the subsheaf of weight δ in the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\Delta}$ of $\mathcal{N}_\Delta$. Assume that $\{U_i\}$ is an atlas on $(\mathcal{N}_\Delta)_0$ such that (7) is split over each U_i, i.e.

$$\mathcal{O}_\delta|_{U_i} \simeq \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\Delta}\big)_\delta|_{U_i} \oplus \mathcal{E}_\delta|_{U_i}.$$

We assume in addition that the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_\delta|_{U_i}$ is free and

$$\mathcal{U}_i := (U_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\Delta}|_{U_i})$$

is a local chart on $\mathcal{N}_\Delta$. Let us choose local coordinates (x_i) in U_i, local homogeneous coordinates with non-trivial weights (ξ^i_a) in $\mathcal{U}_i$ and a basis of sections (η^i_b) in $\mathcal{E}_\delta|_{U_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_\delta|_{U_i}$. To each η^i_b we assign the weight δ. We are ready to construct a local chart on $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}$. We set

$$\mathcal{V}_i := \big(U_i, \mathcal{F}_{U_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S^*(\xi^i_a, \eta^i_b)\big),$$

and we define transition functions in any intersection $\mathcal{V}_i \cap \mathcal{V}_j$ in the following way: we take the transition functions of $\mathcal{N}_\Delta$ in the intersection of charts $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j$ together with the transition functions $\eta^j_b = \eta^j_b(\xi^i_b, \eta^i_b)$ in $\mathcal{O}_\delta|_{U_i \cap U_j}$. Clearly all total weights are preserved and we get a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}$ of type Δ'.

Further we will need the following observation. Let us take two graded manifolds $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$ of type Δ with the same underlying space, i.e. $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}'_0$. For simplicity of notations denote the structure sheaves of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$ by $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$, respectively.

Proposition 1. *Assume that the bundle isomorphisms are given*

$$\varphi_\delta : \mathcal{O}_\delta \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}'_\delta \quad \text{for any} \quad \delta \in \Delta.$$

If for any $\delta \in \Delta$ the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus\limits_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\delta} \mathcal{O}_{\delta_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\delta_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_\delta \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_{\delta_1}\cdot\varphi_{\delta_2}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\delta}, \\ \bigoplus\limits_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\delta} \mathcal{O}'_{\delta_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}'_{\delta_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}'_\delta \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are natural inclusions and sums are taken over all $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta \setminus 0$, then $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$ are isomorphic as graded manifolds of type Δ.

Proof is clear.□

5 A functor $\mathbb{F}$ from Δ**Man** to Δ'**VB**

Recall that we denoted by ΔMan the category of graded manifolds of type Δ and by Δ'VB the category of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ', where Δ' is a multiplicity free weight system and r' is the rank of Δ'. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a graded manifold, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}$ its structure sheaf.

5.1 Preliminaries

In this section we construct a functor $\mathbb{F} : \Delta\mathsf{Man} \to \Delta'\mathsf{VB}$, where Δ' will be defined later. Let Δ be a weight system of rank r, α_i be basic weights, see (1), and $\mathcal{N}$ be a graded manifold of type Δ. By definition of a weight system, any weight $\delta \in \Delta$ has the form

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i(\delta)\alpha_i, \tag{8}$$

where $a_i(\delta)$ are non-negative integers. Denote by

$$n_i := \max_{\delta\in\Delta}\{a_i(\delta)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots r. \tag{9}$$

In other words n_i is the *maximal multiplicity* of the basic weight α_i in the weight system Δ. Note that $n_i > 0$. To construct the functor $\mathbb{F}$, we need the following set of *additional formal weights*:

$$\{\beta_{ji} \mid j = 2, \dots, n_i,\ i = 1, \dots, r\}. \tag{10}$$

As above we denote by $T\mathcal{N}$ the tangent space of $\mathcal{N}$ and we denote by $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}} : \mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{N}}$ the corresponding de Rham differential. We assume that the map $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$ has the weight $\beta_{21} - \alpha_1$ and we indicate our assumption by the subscript β_{21} in $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$. In other words, we assume that

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}} \left((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta \right) \subset (\mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{N}})_{\delta + \beta_{21} - \alpha_1}.$$

(Compare with Example 4, Section 3. We have seen there that such weight agreements is well-defined.) Here $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta$ is the subsheaf in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$ of weight δ. Note that δ is not necessary from Δ in this case. Using our assumption about the weight of $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$, we see that the weight system $\Delta_{T\mathcal{N}}$ of $T\mathcal{N}$ is given by the following formula:

$$\Delta_{T\mathcal{N}} = \Delta \cup \{\delta + \beta_{21} - \alpha_1 \mid \delta \in \Delta\}. \tag{11}$$

Compare this with Formula (5). As above, we see that $\Delta_{T\mathcal{N}}$ is not a non-negatively graded manifold anymore. For instance $\Delta_{T\mathcal{N}}$ contains the weight $\beta_{21} - \alpha_1$ that has a negative coefficient.

Further, denote by

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}} : \mathcal{O}_{TT\mathcal{N}} \to \mathcal{O}_{TT\mathcal{N}}$$

the de Rham differential on the tangent space $TT\mathcal{N}$ of $T\mathcal{N}$. We assume that $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}}$ has the weight $\beta_{31} - \alpha_1$. We denote the tangent prolongation of $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{TT\mathcal{N}}$ also by $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$. (The map $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$ is a vector field on the graded manifold $T\mathcal{N}$. Hence the action of $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$ is defined on all tensors on $T\mathcal{N}$ by the Lie derivative. This action we mean by the "tangent prolongation".) By definition of the tangent prolongation the operators $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}}$ commute. Summing up on $TT\mathcal{N}$ we have two odd homological commuting operators $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}}$. In other words, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}} \circ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}} = 0, \quad \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}} \circ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}} = 0, \quad [\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}}, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{31}}] = 0.$$

We continue this process. Altogether we iterate this procedure n times, where

$$n := \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i - r, \tag{12}$$

using sequentially the de Rham differentials

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{21}},\dots,\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{n_1 1}},\ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{22}},\dots,\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{n_2 2}},\dots,\ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{2r}},\dots,\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{nr}}\,. \tag{13}$$

We assume that the de Rham differential $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$ has the weight $\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i$. The result of this procedure is the following iterated tangent bundle:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := \underbrace{T\cdots T}_{n}(\mathcal{N})$$

with n odd operators $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$ such that

$$[\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}},\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j'i'}}]=0 \ \text{ for all } \ (ji) \text{ and } (j'i'). \tag{14}$$

Further let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^r$-graded manifold that is not necessary non-negatively graded. In this paper we consider only the case, when $\mathcal{R}$ is an iterated tangent bundle of a graded manifold of type Δ. Denote by $\mathcal{J}^-_{\mathcal{R}}$ the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}}$ that is generated by all elements with weights that have at least one negative coefficient. To simplify notations usually we will write $\mathcal{J}^-$ instead of $\mathcal{J}^-_{\mathcal{R}}$.

5.2 Construction of $\mathbb{F}$

We are ready to define the functor $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be as above. Our goal now is to construct an r'-fold vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}}$. Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is defined above. Clearly this is the structure sheaf of a certain non-negatively graded manifold. We denote by $\tilde{\Delta}$ the weight system of this graded manifold and by $\Delta'\subset\tilde{\Delta}$ its maximal multiplicity free subsystem. That is Δ' is the weight subsystem in $\tilde{\Delta}$ that contains all weights from $\tilde{\Delta}$ that have coefficients 0 or 1 before the basic weights α_i and β_{ji}. It is easy to see that Δ' satisfies conditions of Lemma 1. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ the corresponding graded manifold of type Δ', see Lemma 1.

Applying the rule (11), we see that $\alpha_i,\beta_{ji}\in\tilde{\Delta}$ and we note that these weights are multiplicity free. Hence, $\alpha_i,\beta_{ji}\in\Delta'$ and the rank of Δ' is equal to $r':=n+r$. Further, by definition, the weight system Δ' is multiplicity free, hence, the graded manifold $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ *is an r'-fold vector bundle*, see Definition 6. We put by definition

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{N}) := \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}. \tag{15}$$

Note that Δ' depends only on Δ, but not on a particular choice of $\mathcal{N}$.

Further, let us take a morphism $\Phi:\mathcal{N}\to\mathcal{N}_1$ of two graded manifolds $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_1$ of type Δ. By definition, Φ preserves all weights. We have the corresponding map in the iterated tangent bundles

$$\big(\underbrace{T\cdots T}_{n}\Phi\big):\underbrace{T\cdots T}_{n}(\mathcal{N})\to\underbrace{T\cdots T}_{n}(\mathcal{N}_1),$$

that commutes with operators $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$ and also preserves all weights. Therefore, the map

$$(\Phi')^* : \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}/\mathcal{J}^-_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$$

is well-defined. Here we use the following notations:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} := \underbrace{T\cdots T}_{n}(\mathcal{N}), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1 := \underbrace{T\cdots T}_{n}(\mathcal{N}_1),$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{J}^-_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ and $\mathcal{J}^-_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}$ the ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1}$, respectively, that are defined as above, i.e. they are generated by all elements with weights that have at least one negative coefficient. Since $(\Phi')^*$ preserves all weights, we get

$$(\Phi')^*\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}_1}}\big) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}}.$$

Hence the map

$$\mathbb{F}(\Phi) : \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}_1}$$

is defined. Clearly, the correspondence $\Phi \mapsto \mathbb{F}(\Phi)$ sends a composition of morphisms to a composition of morphisms. Hence we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The correspondence $\mathbb{F}$ is a functor from the category of graded manifolds of type Δ to the category of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ'.*

5.3 Explicit description of $\Delta' = \Delta'(\Delta)$

Let us determine $\Delta' = \Delta'(\Delta)$ explicitly. We take $\delta \in \Delta$ with coefficients $a_i(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in (8), and we put

$$\beta_{I_i i} := \sum_{s\in I_i} \beta_{si}, \quad \text{where } I_i \subset \{2,\dots,n_i\}.$$

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$\Delta' = \bigcup_{\delta\in\Delta} \Delta'_\delta, \tag{16}$$

where Δ'_δ is given by the following formula:

$$\Delta'_\delta := \Big\{\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\beta_{I_i} - |I_i|\alpha_i) \mid |I_i| = a_i(\delta) \text{ or } |I_i| = a_i(\delta) - 1\Big\}. \tag{17}$$

Here $I_i \subset \{2,\dots,n_i\}$.

Proof. Consider the weight system $\Delta_{T\mathcal{N}}$ that is given by Formula (11). If we iterate this process we see that the weight system of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is given by

$$\Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} = \bigcup_{\delta\in\Delta} \tilde{\Delta}_\delta, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\Delta}_\delta := \Big\{\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\beta_{I_i} - |I_i|\alpha_i) \mid |I_i| = 0, 1, \cdots, n_i\Big\}.$$

If we remove from $\tilde{\Delta}_\delta$ all weights with at least one negative coefficient and all weights with non-trivial multiplicities, we get (17). This finishes the proof.□

5.4 Description of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ in local coordinates

Let us take $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\delta' = \sum_i a_i\alpha_i + \sum_{ji} b_{ji}\beta_{ji} \in \Delta'_\delta$, see (17). Then there exists the unique up to sign operator $\mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'}$ that is equal to a composition of some $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ or equal to the identity such that $\mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'}(\delta) = \delta'$. The operator $\mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'}$ is explicitly given by $\mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'} = \pm\,\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1i_1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_ki_k}}$, where $\beta_{j_si_s}$ are weights such that $b_{j_si_s} \neq 0$ in the expression for δ'. Let us choose a local chart $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with local coordinates $(\xi_i^\delta)_{\delta\in\Delta}$. Here the superscript δ indicates the weight of coordinates. By our construction of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Local coordinates in the chart $(\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}}|_{\mathcal{U}_0})$ are given by*

$$\bigcup_{\delta\in\Delta} \{\mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'}(\xi_i^\delta) \mid \delta' \in \Delta'_\delta\}. \tag{18}$$

The coordinates (18) satisfy the following property. Let δ, δ' and $\mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'}$ be as above. Then $\eta_i^{\delta'} := \mathrm{D}_{\delta\to\delta'}(\xi_i^\delta)$ satisfies the equation $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_si_s}}(\eta_i^{\delta'}) = 0$ for all $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_si_s}}$ such that $b_{j_si_s} \neq 0$ in the expression for δ'. In other words, $\eta_i^{\delta'} \in \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_si_s}}$ for all such $\beta_{j_si_s}$. We obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *Let us take $\delta' = \sum\limits_i a_i(\delta')\alpha_i + \sum\limits_{ji} b_{ji}(\delta')\beta_{ji} \in \Delta'$. The sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\delta'}$ possesses the following decomposition:*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\delta'} = \bigoplus_{\delta'_1+\delta'_2=\delta'} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\delta'_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\delta'_2} + (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b_{j_si_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_si_s}},$$

where $\delta'_i \neq 0$.

6 Additional structure on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$

6.1 Odd commuting vector fields on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$

Recall that by $\mathcal{N}$ we denoted a graded manifold of type Δ and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ the n-times iterated tangent bundle of $\mathcal{N}$. It is a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$.

Here $n = r' - r$, where r is the rank of Δ and r' is the rank of Δ'. On $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ there are n odd commuting homological vector fields $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$ of weights $\beta_{ji} - \alpha_i$, see (14). Our goal now is to show that these vector fields induce odd commuting homological vector fields on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proposition 5. *On $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ there are n odd commuting homological vector fields*

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{21}}, \dots, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{n_1 1}}, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{22}}, \dots, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{n_2 2}}, \dots, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{2r}}, \dots, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{n_r r}}, \tag{19}$$

that are induces by the de Rham operators (13) on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof. Clearly, any vector field $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$ preserves the ideal $\mathcal{J}^-$. Hence we have the vector field $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ acting on $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-$. Furthermore, by definition we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-$. We need to show that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_\delta\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ for any $\delta \in \Delta'$. Consider the following inclusion:

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_\delta\right) = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_\delta\right) \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\delta+\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i}.$$

Since δ is multiplicity free, we have $a_i(\delta) = 0$ or 1. (See (8) for notations.) In case $a_i(\delta) = 0$, the weight $\delta + \beta_{ji} - \alpha_i$ has a negative coefficient, hence

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\delta+\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i} = \{0\}.$$

In case $a_i(\delta) = 1$, the weight $\delta+\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i$ has no negative coefficients. Since β_{ji} has no multiplicities in the weight system $\Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$, the sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\delta+\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i}$ is a product of subsheaves in $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-$ with multiplicity free weights. Hence it is a subsheaf in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}}$. The proof is complete.□

Some properties of derivations $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ are described in the next propositions.

Proposition 6. *The vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ are $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_0$-linear.*

Proof. Let us take $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}})_0$ and a vector field $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$. Then the weight of $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(f)$ is equal to $\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i$. It is a weight with a negative coefficient, therefore, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(f) = 0$. The result follows from the Leibniz rule.□

Let $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}^1$ be two graded manifolds of type Δ. Denote by $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ and $\mathrm{D}^1_{\beta_{ji}}$ the derivations on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}^1}$, respectively, as in Proposition 5.

Proposition 7. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}_1$ be a morphism of graded manifolds of type Δ and $\mathbb{F}(\psi) : \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}_1}$ be the corresponding morphism of r'-fold vector bundles. Then*

$$\mathbb{F}(\psi)^* \circ \mathrm{D}^1_{\beta_{ji}} = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathbb{F}(\psi)^*.$$

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\mathbb{F}(\psi)$ and the fact that all morphisms and the induced morphisms between tangent spaces commute with de Rham differentials.□

6.2 Properties of the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$

As above we denote by $\mathcal{N}$ a graded manifold of type Δ, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is the n-times iterated tangent bundle of $\mathcal{N}$, it is a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}$, and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{N})$ is a graded manifold of type Δ'. If γ is a certain weight in the weight lattice generated by α_i, β_{ji}, then by definition we put

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\gamma) = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\gamma) := \gamma + \beta_{ji} - \alpha_i. \tag{20}$$

Let us take a subset Λ in (10). Denote by $\bar{\Lambda} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$ the same set Λ, but with a certain fixed order. Denote by $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ the following composition:

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-, \quad \mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} := \mathrm{d}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{d}_{\gamma_s} \mod \mathcal{J}^-. \tag{21}$$

Note that the underlying spaces $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $(\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}})_0$ of graded manifolds $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}$ coincide. Hence we can identify their structure sheaves $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_0 = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_0$. Clearly, the map of sheaves $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ is $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_0$-linear, see the proof of Proposition 6. Hence, $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ is a morphism of sheaves of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_0$-modules.

Let us take a weight $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \alpha_i$, where $a_i \geq 0$, in the weight lattice generated by α_i. (Note that δ is not necessary from Δ.) Then the weight $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)$ is defined using (20). Assume that $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)$ is multiplicity free and does not have negative coefficients. Then we have the following morphism:

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_{\delta} \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)}. \tag{22}$$

Note that for any multiplicity free weight θ we have $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\theta} = (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\theta}$.

We will need the following proposition.

Proposition 8. *Assume that the weight $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)$ is multiplicity free and does not have negative coefficients. Then the morphism (22) is injective.*

Proof. The idea of the proof is to use the following fact: the kernel of the de Rham differential for supermanifolds (as for usual manifolds) restricted to functions coincides with the vector space of constant functions.

Let us fix an operator d_{γ_p}, where $p \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, from Sequence (21). Clearly, we can rewrite $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ in the following form

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} = (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_1} \mod \mathcal{J}^-) \circ \cdots \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \mod \mathcal{J}^-) \circ \cdots \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_s} \mod \mathcal{J}^-).$$

We put

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} = \mathrm{D}_1 \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \mod \mathcal{J}^-) \circ \mathrm{D}_2,$$

where the notations D_1 and D_2 have obvious meaning. Assume that $\mathrm{D}_2(f) \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-$, where $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta$. Our goal is to show that

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathrm{D}_2(f)) \neq 0.$$

Assume that $\gamma_p = \beta_{ji}$. We work locally in a chart $\mathcal{U}$ on the non-negatively graded manifold $\mathcal{M} := (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)$. Note that we can divide all local homogeneous coordinates in $\mathcal{U}$ into three groups: coordinates with weight 0; coordinates with weights of the form $c\alpha_i + \cdots$, where $c > 0$; and all other coordinates. Hence we can write $\mathrm{D}_2(f)$ in the following form:

$$\mathrm{D}_2(f) = \sum_{IJ} f_{IJ}\xi^I\eta^J.$$

Here I and J are multi-indexes, $f_{IJ} \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_0$, ξ^I are monomials in local homogeneous coordinates from the second group, and η^J are monomials in local homogeneous coordinates from the third group. Since $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)$ does not have negative coefficients, we observe that the weight $(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \circ \mathrm{D}_2)(\delta)$ also does not have negative coefficients. Hence the weight $\mathrm{D}_2(\delta)$ is a weight of the form $c\alpha_i + \cdots$, where $c > 0$. It follows that $\mathrm{D}_2(f)$ depends on coordinates from the second group non-trivially. Let us apply $(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)$ to the function $\mathrm{D}_2(f)$. Since

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_{IJ}) \in J^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\eta^J) \in J^-,$$

we get

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)\Big(\sum_{IJ} f_{IJ}\xi^I\eta^J\Big) = \sum_{IJ} f_{IJ}\,\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi^I)\eta^J \bmod \mathcal{J}^-.$$

Assume that

$$\sum_{IJ} f_{IJ}\,\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi^I)\eta^J \bmod \mathcal{J}^- = 0. \tag{23}$$

Since $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)$ is multiplicity free and the weight of $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi^I)$ contains the summand β_{ji}, we see that in the expression for weights of η^J we do not have the summand β_{ji}. Therefore, the equation (23) is equivalent to the vanishing of all coefficients before η^J:

$$\sum_{I} f_{IJ}\,\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi^I) = 0 \quad \text{for any } J.$$

Note that here we do not need to assume that the equality holds $\bmod \mathcal{J}^-$.

Further, f_{IJ} is a function of weight 0. In other words it is a usual smooth (or holomorphic) function that is defined in $\mathcal{U}_0$. Let us take a point $x \in \mathcal{U}_0$ and evaluate the function f_{IJ} at x. We get

$$\sum_I f_{IJ}(x)\, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi^I) = \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}\big(\sum_I f_{IJ}(x)\xi^I\big) = 0 \quad \text{for any } x \text{ and } J.$$

Since $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$ is the de Rham differential and we can consider $f_{IJ}(x)\xi^I$ as an exterior form of degree 0 (or just a function) for this operator, we conclude from this equation that $f_{IJ}(x)\xi^I$ is a constant function. Therefore $f_{IJ}\xi^I$ does not depend on ξ^I for any x. This contradicts to the fact that the weight of $\mathrm{D}_2(f)$ depends on α_i non-trivially and $(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathrm{D}_2(f)) \neq 0$ is proven. Since this holds for any p, the result follows.$\square$

The next proposition describes the image of $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}$ in some particular cases.

Proposition 9. *Assume that* $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{r} a_i\alpha_i + \sum\limits_{ji} b_{ji}\beta_{ji}$ *is multiplicity free, does not have negative coefficients and satisfies the following property: if* $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta)$ *depends on* β_{st}*, then* $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta)$ *depends also on* α_t*. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta\right) = \Big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta)}\Big) \bigcap_{k=1}^{s} \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_k}$$

and the map

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta \to \Big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta)}\Big) \bigcap_{k=1}^{s} \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_k}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The idea of the proof is to use the Poincaré Lemma for supermanifolds: any closed differential form is locally exact.

Since $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} = 0$, see (14), and therefore $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \mod \mathcal{J}^- = 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta\right) \subset \Big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta)}\Big) \bigcap_{k=1}^{s} \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_k}\,. \tag{24}$$

Our goal is to prove that the inclusion (24) is in fact the equality. As in the proof of Proposition 8, let us write the sequence (21) in the following form:

$$\mathrm{D}_1 \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \mod \mathcal{J}^-) \circ \mathrm{D}_2,$$

where $\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} = \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$, and let us take any

$$f' \in \Big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{N}}})_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\delta)}\Big) \bigcap_{k=1}^{s} \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_k}\,.$$

Assume by induction that we found an element

$$f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_p} \circ \mathrm{D}_2)(\delta)}$$

such that $\mathrm{D}_1(f) = f'$ and $(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(f) = 0$ for $q = p, p+1, \ldots, s$. We have to show that there exists $F \in (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\mathrm{D}_2(\delta)}$ such that

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = f \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = 0$$

for any $q = p+1, p+2, \cdots, s$.

Consider a chart $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ as in the proof of Proposition 8 with coordinates (x_i), (ξ_j) and (η_t) from the groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively. We can write f locally in the following form:

$$f = \sum_{I,J,u} f_{IJu} \xi^I \, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u) \eta^J.$$

Here I and J are multi-indexes, f_{IJu} are functions of weight 0; ξ^I and η^J are monomials in local homogeneous coordinates from the second and third groups, respectively; and $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u)$ are local coordinates from the third group which weights contain β_{ji}. By our assumption, we have

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(f) = \sum_{I,J,u} f_{IJu} \, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi^I) \, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u) \eta^J = 0 \mod \mathcal{J}^-. \tag{25}$$

Note that to obtain the first equality in (25), we use the following facts

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_{IJu}) \in \mathcal{J}^-, \quad \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\eta^J) \in \mathcal{J}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} = 0.$$

Since weights of monomials η^J do not contain β_{ji}, the equality (25) is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \big(\sum_{I,u} f_{IJu}(x_0) \xi^I \, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u) \big) = 0 \quad \text{for any } J \text{ and any } x_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0.$$

We see that $\sum\limits_{I,u} f_{IJu}(x_0) \xi^I \, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u)$ is a closed 1-form in the superdomain with coordinates (ξ_j), with respect to the de Rham differential $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$. By the Poincaré Lemma for supermanifolds, for any x_0 and J there exists

$$F_J(x_0) = \sum_K F_{KJ}(x_0) \xi^K \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(F_J(x_0)) = \sum_{Iu} f_{IJu}(x_0) \xi^I \, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u).$$

Here K is a multi-index. In particular we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_u}(F_J(x_0)) = \sum_I f_{IJu}(x_0) \xi^I. \tag{26}$$

Note that $F_J(x_0)$ is defined up to a constant. However, if we assume that

$$\mathrm{weight}(F_J(x_0)) = \mathrm{weight}\big(\sum_I f_{IJu}(x_0)\xi^I\big) - (\beta_{ji} - \alpha_i),$$

then $F_J(x_0)$ is unique.

Now we need to show that $F_J(x_0)$ is a smooth (or holomorphic) function in x_0. Consider the following equality

$$F_{KJ} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^K}(F_J),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^K}$ is the corresponding to ξ^K differential operator. Using (26), we see that the function F_{KJ} is a certain iterated derivative of $\sum_I f_{IJu}\xi^I$, and hence it is smooth (or holomorphic).

Summing up, we constructed the functions $F_J = \sum_K F_{KJ}\xi^K$ such that

$$\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(F_J) = \sum_{Iu} f_{IJu}\xi^I\, \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_u) \mod \mathcal{J}^-.$$

We put

$$F := \sum_{KJ} F_{KJ}\xi^K\eta^J.$$

Clearly the weight of F is $\mathrm{D}_2(\delta)$ and we have $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}(F) \mod \mathcal{J}^- = f$.

It is remaining to show that $\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q}(F) = 0 \mod \mathcal{J}^-$ for $q = p+1, \dots, s$. Consider the function $H := (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(F)$. The weight of H does not contain β_{ji} since $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(\delta)$ is multiplicity free, and it has the form $c\alpha_i + \dots$, where $c > 0$, since our assumption that $a_i > 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots r$. Therefore, H is a non-constant function for the de Rham differential $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}}$. Further,

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(H) = (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \mod \mathcal{J}^-) \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) =$$
$$-(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q} \mod \mathcal{J}^-) \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = -(\mathrm{d}_{\gamma_q} \mod \mathcal{J}^-)(f) = 0.$$

Hence $H = 0$.

If we iterate our construction, we get a function

$$F' \in (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_\delta = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})_\delta$$

such that $\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}(F') = f'$. The proof is complete.□

From the proof of Proposition 9 it follows the corollary:

Corollary. *Assume that* $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i\alpha_i + \sum_{ji} b_{ji}\beta_{ji} \in \Delta'$ *and* $a_{i_0}, b_{j_o i_0} \neq 0$ *for some indexes* i_0 *and* $(j_0 i_0)$. *Let us take*

$$f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \bigcap_{b_{st}\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\,.$$

Then there exists $F \in (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\delta-\beta_{j_0 i_0}+\alpha_i}$ *such that*

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j_0 i_0}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = f \quad \textit{and} \quad (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{st}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = 0$$

for any $(st) \neq (j_0 i_0)$ *such that* $b_{st} \neq 0$.□

Further properties of the commuting vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ are described in the following proposition.

Proposition 10. *Let us take* $\delta \in \Delta'$. *If* $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \in \Delta'$, *then*

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)} \tag{27}$$

is an isomorphism of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_0$*-locally free sheaves. In particular, all maps*

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\alpha_i} \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\beta_{ji}}$$

are isomorphisms of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_0$*-locally free sheaves.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ is $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_0$-linear by Proposition 6. Consider a chart $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ as in the proof of Proposition 8. Clearly this chart determines a chart on $\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}$. Again we choose coordinates (x_p), (ξ_q) and (η_t) from the groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively. More precisely, x_p are local coordinates of weight 0, ξ_q are coordinates with weights in the form $\alpha_i + \ldots$, and η_t are other coordinates.

Let us take $f = \sum_{kI} f_{kI}\xi_k\eta^I \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta$, where I as a multi-index and f_{kI} are functions of weight 0. Since $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \in \Delta'$ is multiplicity free, we see that δ does not depend on β_{ji}. The map (27) in coordinates is given by the following formula:

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(f) = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\sum_{kI} f_{kI}\xi_k\eta^I) = \sum_{kI} f_{kI}\,\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_k)\eta^I.$$

We see that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_k)$ and η_q form a subset of independent local coordinates in $\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}$, since $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_k)$ and η_q have different weights. Note that any function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}}$ of weight $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)$ has the form $\sum_{kI} f_{kI}\,\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_k)\eta^I$. Therefore, the inverse map $\sum_{kI} f_{kI}\,\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_k)\eta^I \mapsto \sum_{kI} f_{kI}\xi_k\eta^I$ of the map (27) is well-defined.□

The vector fields satisfying (10) we will call *non-degenerate*.

6.3 Combinatorical properties of odd commuting vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$

Some properties of odd commuting vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ can be described using the combinatorics of the weight system Δ'. Let us take $\delta, \delta' \in \Delta'$ and two vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}$ such that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta') \in \Delta'$. Explicitly this means that $\delta = \alpha_i + \beta_{si} + \theta$ and $\delta' = \alpha_i + \beta_{ji} + \theta$ for a certain weight θ. Then

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\delta'} \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)}$$

are isomorphisms, see Proposition 10. Hence the following isomorphism of sheaves is defined

$$\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{si}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\delta'}.$$

We will need the following proposition.

Proposition 11. *Assume that* $\delta = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{r} a_i\alpha_i + \sum\limits_{ji} b_{ji}\beta_{ji} \in \Delta'$, $b_{ji_0} = 0$ *and* $a_{i_0}, b_{j_0i_0} \neq 0$ *for some indexes* i_0, (ji_0) *and* (j_0i_0). *We put*

$$\delta' := (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}})(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a'_i\alpha_i + \sum_{ji} b'_{ji}\beta_{ji}.$$

Then we have

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}})\big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \bigcap_{b_{st}\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\big) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b'_{st}\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\,.$$

Proof. Note that it is enough to show only the following inclusion:

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}})\big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \bigcap_{b_{st}\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\big) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b'_{st}\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\,.$$

Let us take $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \bigcap_{b_{st}\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}$. In the corollary of Proposition 9, we have seen that there exists $F \in (\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}}/\mathcal{J}^-)_{\delta-\beta_{j_0i_0}+\alpha_{i_0}}$ such that $f = \mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}}(F) \bmod \mathcal{J}^-$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}})(f) &= (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}} \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-))(F) = \\ &- (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji_0}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-))(F) = \\ &- (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji_0}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_{\delta'} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Further, again by the corollary of Proposition 9, we get $\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{st}}(F) \bmod \mathcal{J}^- = 0$, where $b_{st} \neq 0$. Hence

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j i_0}})(f) = -\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j i_0}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = 0.$$

The proof is complete.□

Let us take $\delta, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta'$ in the following form:

$$\delta = \alpha_i + \beta_{ji} + \theta, \quad \delta_1 = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_1 i} + \theta, \quad \delta_2 = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_2 i} + \theta,$$

where $j \neq j_1$, $j \neq j_2$ and $j_1 \neq j_2$. Note that since Δ' is multiplicity free, θ does not depend on α_i, β_{ji}, $\beta_{j_1 i}$ and $\beta_{j_2 i}$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 12. *Let $\delta, \delta_1, \delta_2$ be as above. Then we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}})|_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}} = -(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}}) \circ \\ (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}})|_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We will call (12) the *cocycle condition* for our vector fields.

Proof. Let us take $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$. Again as in the proof of Proposition 9, we can find F such that $f = (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F)$. We have

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}})(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = -(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j_2 i}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}}) \circ (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}})(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{ji}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = \\ -(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}})(\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F) = (\mathrm{d}_{\beta_{j_2 i}} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(F).\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.□

Remark. Let $\delta, \delta_1, \delta_2$ be as is Proposition 12. Let us show that (28) does not hold for any $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta$. In other words the assumption $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\mathcal{N}})_\delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ is essential. Let us take two variables ξ_1, ξ_2 of weight α_i. Then $f = \xi_1 \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_2)$ has the weight $\alpha_i + \beta_{ji}$. Further, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(f) = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_1) \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_2) \neq 0$. Hence, $f \notin \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$. Applying the left hand side of (28), we get:

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}})(\xi_1 \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_2)) = \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}}(\xi_1) \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_2)) = \pm\, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}}(\xi_1) \cdot \xi_2.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}}) \circ (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}})(\xi_1 \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\xi_2)) = \\ \pm\, (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}})(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}(\xi_1) \cdot \xi_2) = \pm \xi_1 \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2 i}}(\xi_2).\end{aligned}$$

We see that the results are different.

7 Equivalence of categories

7.1 The category of r'-fold vector bundles with n odd commuting non-degenerate vector fields

In this section we introduce the category $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$. This is a category of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ' with n odd commuting non-degenerate vector fields. More precisely, let Δ' be a weight system with the following set of basic weights:

$$\{\alpha_i,\ \beta_{ji} \mid i = 1, \dots, r,\ j = 2, \dots, n_i\},$$

where $n_i \geq 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$ are some non-negative integers. (See (1) for the definition of basic weights.) We put $n := \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i - r$ and $r' = n + r$. In other words r' is the rank of Δ'. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be an r'-fold vector bundle of type Δ'. Assume in addition that on $\mathbf{D}$ we have n odd vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ of weights $\beta_{ji} - \alpha_i$. Assume that these **vector fields** have the following **properties**:

1. The vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ are $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_0$**-linear**.

2. The vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ **super-commute**:

   $$[\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}, \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j'i'}}] = 0$$

   for all (ji) and $(j'i')$. In particular, any $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ satisfy the condition $\mathrm{D}^2_{\beta_{ji}} = 0$.

3. The operators $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ are **non-degenerate** in the following sense. Let us take $\delta \in \Delta'$. As above we put $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) := \delta + \beta_{ji} - \alpha_i$. We call an odd vector field $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ of weight $\beta_{ji} - \alpha_i$ **non-degenerate**, if it satisfies conditions of Proposition 10 for any δ. More precisely, if $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \in \Delta'$ for a certain $\delta \in \Delta'$, then the following map

   $$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta} \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)}$$

   is a bundle isomorphism.

4. Let us take $\delta = \sum_i a_i(\delta)\alpha_i + \sum_{ji} b_{ji}(\delta)\beta_{ji} \in \Delta'$. We assume that the sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta}$ possesses the following **decomposition**:

   $$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta} \bigcap_{b_{js_is}(\delta)\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{js_is}} + \bigoplus_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\delta} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta_1} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta_2},$$

   where $\delta_i \neq 0$.

5. Let δ, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1i}}$ be as in Proposition 12. The vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1i}}$ satisfy the following **cocycle condition**:

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2i}})|_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}} = -(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_2i}}) \circ (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1i}})|_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\delta \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}}.$$

6. Let $\delta, \delta' \in \Delta'$, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}}$ be as in Proposition 11. Our vector fields **preserve the kernels** in the following sense:

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}})\big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\delta \bigcap_{b_{j_si_s} \neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_si_s}}\big) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b'_{j_si_s} \neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_si_s}}.$$

   In other words this means that the operator $\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0i_0}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji_0}}$ preserves the decomposition from item 4.

The category of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ' with n odd vector fields of weight $\beta_{ji} - \alpha_i$ satisfying the properties $1 - 6$ we denote by $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$. A morphism in this category is a morphism in the category of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ' that commute with all vector fields.

It follows from Propositions 4, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 that the image of the functor $\mathbb{F}$ is contained in $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$. In the next sections we will prove that $\mathbb{F}$ defines an equivalence of categories. To do this we will use the following definition.

Definition 7. Two categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$ are called equivalent if there is a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ such that:

- F is full and faithful, this is $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1, c_2)$ is in bijection with $Hom_{\mathcal{C}'}(Fc_1, Fc_2)$.
- F is essentially surjective, this is for any $a \in \mathcal{C}'$ there exists $b \in \mathcal{C}$ such that a is isomorphic to $F(b)$.

7.2 Example: graded manifolds of degree 2 and double vector bundles with an odd vector field

In this section we establish a correspondence between graded manifolds of degree 2 and double vector bundles with an odd non-degenerate vector field. Recall that graded manifolds of type $\{0, \alpha, 2\alpha\}$ are usually called in the literature graded manifolds of degree 2. Below we give two constructions. We assign a double vector bundle to a graded manifold of degree 2 and then we reconstruct a graded manifold corresponding to a double vector bundle with an odd non-degenerate vector field.

The result of this section is equivalent to a statement about the equivalence of categories of graded manifolds of degree 2 and of double vector bundles with an involution (or a metric) obtained in [CM] (in [JL]), respectively.

Construction 1. Consider a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_2$ of degree 2 or, in other words, a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_2$ of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}_2} = \{0, \alpha, 2\alpha\}$. In this case $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M}_2) =: \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ is a double vector bundle with basic weights $\alpha := \alpha_1$ and $\beta := \beta_{21}$. The weight system of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ has the following form:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}} = \{0,\ \alpha,\ \beta,\ \alpha+\beta\}.$$

On $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ we have an odd linear (homological) vector field $\mathrm{D}_\beta := \mathrm{d}_\beta \bmod \mathcal{J}^-$ such that D_β is **non-degenerate** and has **weight** $\beta - \alpha$. In this case the non-degeneracy of D_β means that the following map

$$\mathrm{D}_\beta : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}})_\alpha \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}})_\beta$$

is a bundle isomorphism.

Construction 2. Let us show that any double vector bundle $\mathbf{D}$ or a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\mathbf{D}} = \{0,\ \alpha,\ \beta,\ \alpha+\beta\}$ with an odd non-degenerate linear (homological) vector field D of weight $\beta-\alpha$ is isomorphic to a double vector bundle in the form $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2} := \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M}_2)$, where $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a certain graded manifold of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}_2} = \{0,\ \alpha,\ 2\alpha\}$. We also will show that this isomorphism commutes with operators D_β and D, which are defined on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ and $\mathbf{D}$, respectively.

Step 1, exact sequence. We work with the double vector bundle $\mathbf{D}$. Consider the subsheaf $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}}$ is the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{D}$. We have the following exact sequence of sheaves of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_0$-modules:

$$0 \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \to 0.$$

Here $\mathcal{E}$ is a certain locally free sheaf of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_0$-modules. A standard argument shows that the following sequence is also exact

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D} \cap \big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta\big) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D} \cap (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}' \to 0,$$

where

$$\mathrm{D}' : \mathcal{E} \to \mathrm{D}((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta})/\,\mathrm{D}((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta)$$

is the map induced by D. Since D is non-degenerate and $\mathrm{D}((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta) = \mathrm{D}^2((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha) = 0$, the following map

$$\mathrm{D} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta$$

is surjective. Since D has weight $\beta-\alpha$ and since $2\beta \notin \Delta_{\mathbf{D}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{D}\big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta}\big) \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{2\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{2\beta} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{D}((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta},$$

the map D' is trivial and $\operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}' = \mathcal{E}$. Therefore we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D} \cap \big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta}\big) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D} \cap (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \to 0. \tag{29}$$

Step 2, construction of $\mathcal{M}_2$. The idea is to show that the following data:

$$\mathcal{O}_0 = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_0, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\alpha} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2\alpha} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}$$

defines a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_2$ of degree 2. To simplify notations we denoted here by $\mathcal{O}$ the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{M}_2$. First of all consider the graded manifold $\mathcal{M}_1$ of type $\{0,\alpha\}$ with the structure sheaf $S^*_{\mathcal{O}_0}(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha})$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{M}_1}/\mathcal{J}^-$ with the vector field $\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^- := \mathrm{d}_{\beta} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-$ defined as above. We set $\mathcal{O}_{\beta} := (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha})$. By Proposition 9 or by a direct computation, we have

$$(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\beta}) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-). \tag{30}$$

Now we can define an isomorphism of sheaves of ringed spaces

$$\Theta : \mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{M}_1}/\mathcal{J}^- = S^*(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\beta}) \to S^*((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta})$$

in the following way:

$$\Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}} := \mathrm{id}, \quad \Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_{\beta}} := \mathrm{D} \circ (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)^{-1}.$$

Clearly, Θ preserves all weights and $\Theta \circ (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-) = \mathrm{D} \circ \Theta$. Therefore,

$$\Theta\big((\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\beta}) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)\big) = \big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\beta}\big) \cap \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}\,. \tag{31}$$

Combining (29), (30) and (31), we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\chi} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \to 0. \tag{32}$$

Here $\chi = \Theta \circ (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)$ is an injective map. Further, we put

$$\mathcal{O}_{2\alpha} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{D}\,.$$

By Construction 2, Section 4.2, the exact sequence (32) determines a graded manifold of degree 2, which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_2$.

Step 3, construction of an isomorphism $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M}_2) \simeq \mathbf{D}$. To define an isomorphism $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M}_2) \simeq \mathbf{D}$ we use Proposition 1. For simplicity of notations we denote the structure sheaf of $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{M}_2)$ by $\mathcal{O}'$. By definition of the functor $\mathbb{F}$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}'_\alpha = \mathcal{O}_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{O}'_\beta = \mathcal{O}_\beta, \quad \mathcal{O}'_{\alpha+\beta} = \mathcal{O}'_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{O}'_\beta + (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathcal{O}_{2\alpha}).$$

The last equality follows from Proposition 4. We will use this decomposition to define our isomorphism.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathcal{O}_{2\alpha}) & \xleftarrow{(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)} & \mathcal{O}_{2\alpha} & = & (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathcal{O}_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{O}_\alpha) & \xleftarrow{(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)} & \mathcal{O}_\alpha \cdot \mathcal{O}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \big((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta\big) \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}
\end{array}.$$

Note that the right square is commutative by definition. Here all horizontal maps are isomorphisms and all vertical maps are inclusions. By Proposition 1, we need to define isomorphisms φ_δ, where $\delta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{M}_2}}$. We put

$$\varphi_\alpha|_{\mathcal{O}'_\alpha} = \Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_\alpha}, \quad \varphi_\beta|_{\mathcal{O}'_\alpha} = \Theta|_{\mathcal{O}_\beta}, \quad \varphi_{\alpha+\beta}|_{\mathcal{O}'_\alpha \mathcal{O}'_\beta} = \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta|_{\mathcal{O}'_\alpha \mathcal{O}'_\beta},$$
$$\varphi_{\alpha+\beta}\big|_{(\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)(\mathcal{O}_{2\alpha})} = (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)^{-1}.$$

In the last line we use the identification $\mathcal{O}_{2\alpha} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}$. Since the diagram above is commutative, the conditions of Proposition 1 hold and the injective map is defined. Since the sequence (29) is exact, $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D} + (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta$. Hence the map φ defined by (φ_δ) is an isomorphism. Clearly φ commutes with $\mathrm{D}_\beta = (\mathrm{d} \bmod \mathcal{J}^-)$ and D.

Remark. We have seen that the decomposition $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D} + (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\alpha (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_\beta$ follows from exactness of (29) in the case of graded manifolds of degree 2. Hence in this case it is enough to assume that the vector field D is linear and non-degenerate. (Note that the vector field D is homological due to weight agreement.)

7.3 Some technical results

Let us fix a weight system Δ satisfying Definition 1 and the weight system $\Delta' = \Delta'(\Delta)$ as in Proposition 2. Recall that we denoted by r and r' the ranks of Δ and Δ', respectively. There is a projection $\mathsf{G} : \Delta' \to \Delta$ that is defined in the following way. Let us take any $\delta' \in \Delta'$. We can write such δ' in the following form:

$$\delta' = \sum_k \alpha_{i_k} + \sum_{st} \beta_{j_s i_t}.$$

We set $\mathsf{G}(\delta) := \sum\limits_k \alpha_{i_k} + \sum\limits_{st} \alpha_{i_t}$. In other words, we replace any $\beta_{j_s i_t}$ by α_{i_t}.

Proposition 13. *Let us take $\delta \in \Delta$. We have*

$$\mathsf{G}^{-1}(\delta) = \Delta'_\delta,$$

where Δ'_δ is given by (17)

Proof follows from definitions.$\square$

Denote by $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and $\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$ the weight subsystems in Δ' generated by the set

$$\mathbf{A}_{<\beta_{ji}} = \{\alpha_s,\, \beta_{st} \mid s = 1, \dots, r,\ t < i \text{ or } t = i \text{ and } s < j\};$$

and the set

$$\mathbf{A}_{=\beta_{ji}} = \{\alpha_s,\, \beta_{st} \mid s = 1, \dots, r,\ t < i \text{ or } t = i \text{ and } s \leq j\},$$

respectively. We put $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}} := \mathsf{G}(\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}})$ and $\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}} := \mathsf{G}(\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}})$.

In Section 5.2 we constructed the functor $\mathbb{F}$ from the category of graded manifolds of type Δ to the category of r'-fold vector bundles of type Δ'. Now we need to construct in a similar way two additional functors $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ from the category of graded manifolds of type $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}$ to the category of r'-fold vector bundles of type $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and from the category of graded manifolds of type $\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}$ to the category of r'-fold vector bundles of type $\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$, respectively. Note that always we deal with r'-fold vector bundles. Recall that to construct the functor $\mathbb{F}$ we used the additional formal weights (β_{ji}), where $j = 2, \dots, n_i$ and $i = i, \dots, r$, see (10). Similarly we define the functor $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ using the additional weights $\beta_{st} \in \mathbf{A}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and the functor $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ using the additional weights $\beta_{st} \in \mathbf{A}_{=\beta_{ji}}$.

More precisely, let us describe the functor $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ in more details. We set $n' := |\mathbf{A}_{<\beta_{ji}}|$, i.e. n' is the number of elements in $\mathbf{A}_{<\beta_{ji}}$. Further, we take a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ of type $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}$. Then we define $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}})$ in the following way. We take n'-iterated tangent bundle $\mathcal{N}'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}$. Further, we consider the graded manifold with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}'_{<\beta_{ji}}}/\mathcal{J}^-$. Assume that it has type $\tilde{\Delta}_{<\beta_{ji}}$. We choose the maximal multiplicity free subset $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and denote by $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}})$ the corresponding to $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ graded manifold. The definition of the functor $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ is similar, we should replace the set $\mathbf{A}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ by $\mathbf{A}_{=\beta_{ji}}$.

Summing up, the functors $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ are from the categories of graded manifolds of type $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and of type $\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}$ to the categories of graded manifolds of type $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$ and of type $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}})$, respectively. The weight system $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$ is defined by formulas (16) and (17), where we

assume that $\beta_{st} \in \mathbf{A}_{<\beta_{ji}}$. In the same way we define $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}})$. It is remaining to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 14. *We have*

$$\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}) = \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}, \quad \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}) = \Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove only the second statement. Let us take

$$\delta' = \sum_k \alpha_{i_k} + \sum_{st} \beta_{j_s i_t} \in \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}).$$

Then $\alpha_{i_k}, \beta_{j_s i_t} \in \Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$. Hence, $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}) \subset \Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$. On other hand assume that

$$\delta' = \sum_k \alpha_{i_k} + \sum_{st} \beta_{j_s i_t} \in \Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}.$$

Then $\delta' \in \Delta'_{\mathsf{G}(\delta')}$. Since δ' depends only on $\beta_{st} \in \mathbf{A}_{=\beta_{ji}}$, we see that $\delta' \in \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}})$.$\square$

7.4 $\mathbb{F}$ is an equivalence of categories

In the previous section we constructed a functor $\mathbb{F}$ from $\Delta\mathsf{Man}$ to $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$, where $\Delta = \mathsf{G}(\Delta')$. Let us prove that $\mathbb{F}$ is essentially surjective. Consider the set of additional weights (β_{ji}), see (10), with the lexicographical order: $\beta_{ji} < \beta_{j'i'}$ if $i < i'$ or $i = i'$ and $j < j'$. We will prove the essential surjectivity of $\mathbb{F}$ by induction on this order.

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be an object in $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$. Consider the weight systems $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$, $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}} := \mathsf{G}(\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}})$, $\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$, $\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}} := \mathsf{G}(\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}})$ and the functors $\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}$, $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ as in the previous section. Clearly the weight system $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ the graded manifold of type $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}} \subset \Delta'$, see Construction 1, Section 4.1. Further, assume by induction that there exists a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ of type $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}$ such that

$$\mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}) \simeq \mathbf{D}_{<\beta_{ji}},$$

and this isomorphism that we denote by φ' commutes with all vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}$.

Note that any graded manifold of type $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}$ is also a graded manifold of type $\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}$. Hence we can apply functor $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and get the graded manifold $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}})$ of type $\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$. However, $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}})$ is also a graded manifold of type $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$, where

$$\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}) = \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}} \cup \Delta'_1 \cup \Delta'_2. \tag{33}$$

Here Δ'_1 and Δ'_2 are subsets in Δ' that are defined by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta'_1 &= \{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \mid \delta \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}} : \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \in \Delta'\};\\ \Delta'_2 &= \{\delta \in \Delta' \mid \exists \beta_{j_0 i} < \beta_{ji} : \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta) \in \Delta'_1\}.\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Explicitly, $\delta_1 \in \Delta'_1$ and $\delta_2 \in \Delta'_2$ have the following form:

$$\delta_1 = \beta_{ji} + \theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = \alpha_i + \beta_{ji} + \theta_2, \tag{35}$$

where θ_i are independent on β_{ji} and α_i. In addition we assume that for δ_2 there exists $\beta_{j_0 i} < \beta_{ji}$ such that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta) \in \Delta'_1$. Again the weight system $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. Denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})}$ the graded manifold of type $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$, see Construction 1, Section 4.1.

For simplicity of notations we denote the structure sheaves of graded manifolds $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}})$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})}$ by $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$, respectively. We also denote operators $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}$ in $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ by the same letter.

Proposition 15. *Let $\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})}$ be the graded manifolds of type $\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$ as above. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})}$$

of graded manifolds of type $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$.

Proof. By Proposition 1 our goal is to construct compatible bundle maps φ_δ for all $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$. We use the decomposition (33). By induction we have an isomorphism $\varphi' : \mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{<\beta_{ji}}$. For any $\delta \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ we put $\varphi_\delta := \varphi'_\delta$. Further, let us take $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \in \Delta'_1$. We put

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)} := \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_\delta \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}. \tag{36}$$

Note that (36) is well-defined since $\delta \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$. If $\delta \in \Delta'_2$ we put

$$\varphi_\delta := \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}, \tag{37}$$

where $\beta_{j_0 i}$ is as in the definition of Δ'_2. Note that $\varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)}$ is defined by (36), since $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta) \in \Delta'_1$. Combining (36) and (37), we get

$$\varphi_\delta := \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_\lambda \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}.$$

Explicitly, if $\delta = \alpha_i + \beta_{ji} + \theta_2 \in \Delta'_2$, see (35), then $\lambda = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_0 i} + \theta_2 \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$.

The formula (36) is well-defined, while (37) dependents on the choice of $\beta_{j_0 i}$. Let us show that in fact it is not the case. Assume that

$$\varphi_\delta = \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_\lambda \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}, \quad \varphi_\delta = \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\lambda'} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}.$$

We need to show that

$$\varphi_\lambda = \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\lambda'} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}, \qquad (38)$$

where $\lambda = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_0 i} + \theta_2$ and $\lambda' = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_1 i} + \theta_2$ such that $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$. First of all consider $\varphi_\lambda|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}}$. Then we can apply the cocycle condition for our vector fields:

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}})|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}} = -\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}}.$$

Further, we use the relation:

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}})(\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}) = \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}.$$

Therefore we can apply the cocycle condition again

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}})|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}} = -\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}}.$$

Now we can rewrite (38) in the following form

$$\varphi_\lambda|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}} = (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \varphi_{\lambda'} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}})|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}}$$

or

$$(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \varphi_\lambda)|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}} = (\varphi_{\lambda'} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}})|_{\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}}.$$

This equation holds because φ_λ and $\varphi_{\lambda'}$ commute with all vector fields by induction.

Next step is to use the decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} + \bigoplus_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2},$$

where $\lambda_i \neq 0$. (Note that the existence of such kind of decompositions follows from the definition of the category $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$.) Consider the following two cases:

1. Assume that $\lambda_1 = \alpha_i + \gamma_1$ and $\lambda_2 = \beta_{j_0 i} + \gamma_2$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, and f_i is a function of weight λ_i, $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}})(f_1 f_2) = (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}})(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1) \cdot f_2) = \\ (-1)^{(f_1+1)} \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}}(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1) \cdot \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2)) = \\ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1) \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2)) = (f_1 \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2)).\end{aligned}$$

Further, since $\varphi_{\lambda'} = \varphi'_{\lambda'}$, we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda'}(f_1 \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2)) = \varphi_{\lambda'_1}(f_1) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda'_2}(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2)),$$

where $\lambda'_1 = \lambda_1 = \alpha_i + \gamma_1$ and $\lambda'_1 = \beta_{j_1 i} + \gamma_2$. Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}})(\varphi_{\lambda'_1}(f_1) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda'_2}(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2))) = \\ \varphi_{\lambda'_1}(f_1) \cdot (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}) \circ \varphi_{\lambda'_2}(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(f_2)) = \\ \varphi_{\lambda_1}(f_1) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda_2}(f_2) = \varphi_{\lambda}(f_1 \cdot f_2).\end{aligned}$$

2. Assume that $\lambda_1 = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_0 i} + \gamma_1$ and $\lambda_2 = \gamma_2$, where γ_i are not depending on α_i and $\beta_{j_0 i}$. Consider the restriction of (38) on $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}$, We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\lambda'} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} |_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}} = \\ &(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\lambda'_1} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}})|_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}} \cdot \varphi_{\lambda'_2}|_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda'_1 = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_1 i} + \gamma_1$ and $\lambda'_2 = \lambda_2$. Hence to prove (38) we need to prove

$$\varphi_{\lambda_1}|_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}} = (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\lambda'_1} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_1 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}})|_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}}$$

Since $\lambda_2 \neq 0$, the last equality follows by induction on length of γ_1, where the length of γ_1 is equal to the number of summands in γ_1. Note that in case $\lambda_1 = \alpha_i + \beta_{j_0 i}$ (in other words the length of $\gamma_1 = 0$, the basis of our induction) the result follows from the decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i + \beta_{j_0 i}} = (\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i + \beta_{j_0 i}}) \cap \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} + \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i} \mathcal{O}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}$$

and the case 1.

It remains to prove the compatibility condition of Proposition 1

$$\varphi_{\delta}|_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\delta_2}} = (\varphi_{\delta_1} \cdot \varphi_{\delta_2})|_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta_1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\delta_2}}$$

for $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$, where $\delta_i \neq 0$. Consider first the case $\delta \in \Delta'_1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that δ_1 depends on β_{ji}. For f_i of weight δ_i, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\delta}(f_1 \cdot f_2) = (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi'_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}})(f_1 \cdot f_2) = (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi'_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)})(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1) \cdot f_2) = \\ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\varphi'_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta_1)}(\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1)) \cdot \varphi'_{\delta_2}(f_2)) = (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi'_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta_1)} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}})(f_1) \cdot \varphi'_{\delta_2}(f_2) = \\ \varphi_{\delta_1}(f_1) \cdot \varphi_{\delta_2}(f_2).\end{aligned}$$

We used here the fact that φ' is an isomorphism by induction.

Further, assume that $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 \in \Delta'_2$. Again without loss of generality we may assume that δ_1 depends on α_i. Similarly we have for f_i of weight δ_i

$$\varphi_\delta(f_1 \cdot f_2) = (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}})(f_1 \cdot f_2) = \\ (\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta_1)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}})(f_1) \cdot \varphi_{\delta_2}(f_2) = \varphi_{\delta_1}(f_1) \cdot \varphi_{\delta_2}(f_2).$$

By Proposition 1, an isomorphism φ is defined by the collection (φ_δ), where $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$. The proof is complete.$\square$

Proposition 16. *The isomorphism φ from Proposition 15 commutes with operators $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}$.*

Proof. We need to show that

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \varphi_\delta = \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \tag{39}$$

for any operator $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}$ and for any δ from our weight lattice. Since $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}$ is a vector field, it is enough to show (39) for $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$. We use the decomposition (33). Consider the following cases.

1. Assume that $\delta \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and that $(st) \neq (ji)$. In this case (39) holds by the assumption that φ' commutes with all operators.

2. Assume that $\delta \in \Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ and $(st) = (ji)$. If δ is independent on α_i, the equality (39) holds trivially. If $\delta = \alpha_i + \theta$, where θ is independent on β_{ji}, then $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta) \in \Delta'_1$ and (39) holds by definition of $\varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)}$.

3. Assume that $\delta \in \Delta'_1$ and $(st) \neq (ji)$. If $t = i$, the equality (39) holds trivially, since δ does not depend on α_i. Assume that $t \neq i$. Consider the decomposition of the weight $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$, where $\gamma_1 = \beta_{ji} + \cdots \in \Delta'_1$ and $\gamma_2 = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta) - \gamma_1$. Note that γ_2 is independent on β_{ji}. Then the following bundle isomorphism is defined

$$\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} : \mathcal{O}_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\gamma_1)+\gamma_2}, \quad \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1 \cdot f_2) := \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(f_1) \cdot f_2,$$

where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\gamma_i}$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} |_{\mathcal{O}_\delta} = \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} |_{\mathcal{O}_\delta}.$$

Further,

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} = (\varphi_{\gamma_1} \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_2}) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} = ((\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\gamma_1)} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}) \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_2}) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \varphi_\delta = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}) = (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{(\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}})(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} = \\ \left((\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}(\gamma_1)} \circ \mathrm{D}^{-1}_{\beta_{ji}}) \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_2}\right) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}.$$

Hence, (39) is proven for this case.

4. Consider now the case $\delta \in \Delta_2'$ and $(st) \neq (ji)$. Assume that $t = i$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta)$ is a weight. In this case $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta) \in \Delta_1'$. We have

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \varphi_\delta = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}) = \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}\,.$$

Hence, (39) holds.

Assume that $t = i$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta)$ is not a weight. Then since $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta) \notin \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$, it follows that $\delta = \beta_{si} + \ldots$, i.e. δ depends on β_{si} non-trivially. Hence, $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}}(\delta) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$, where $\gamma_1 \in \Delta_1'$. In this case (39) is equivalent to the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}) = \\ \big((\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1}(\gamma_1)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1}) \circ \varphi_{\gamma_2}\big) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{si}},\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $j_0 \neq s, j$. Now we use a similar argument as in Proposition 15. By Proposition 4, we have the following decomposition:

$$\mathcal{O}_\delta = \mathcal{O}_\delta \bigcap_{b_{jsis}(\delta) \neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{jsis}} + \bigoplus_{\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \delta} \mathcal{O}_{\delta_1} \mathcal{O}_{\delta_2},$$

where $\delta_i \neq 0$. Since δ depends on β_{si} non-trivially and since the maps $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$ preserve this decomposition, (40) follows from the previous cases and by induction, see the proof of Proposition 15 for details.

Consider now the case $\delta \in \Delta_2'$ and $t \neq i$. If δ does not depends on α_t, then (39) holds trivially. Assume that $\delta = \alpha_t + \cdots$ and δ does not depend on β_{st}. Then $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta)$ is a weight. In this case we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}) = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} = \\ (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence (39) holds. Further, assume that $\delta = \alpha_t + \beta_{st} + \cdots$. In this case (39) is equivalent to the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}) = \\ \big((\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}} \circ \varphi_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta)} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_0 i}})\big) \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}},\end{aligned}$$

where $j_0 \neq j$. This holds by the decomposition and induction argument.

5. Assume that δ dependents on β_{ji} and $(st) = (ji)$. If $\delta \in \Delta'_1$, then (39) holds trivially. Further, assume that $\delta \in \Delta'_2$. In this case the result follows from the decomposition and induction argument. The proof is complete. $\square$

We denote by $\mathbf{D}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ the graded manifold of type $\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}} \subset \Delta'$, see Construction 1, Section 4.1. Now we have the following situation. By induction we assumed that there exist an isomorphism $\varphi' : \mathbb{F}_{<\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{<\beta_{ji}}$ of graded manifolds of type $\Delta'_{<\beta_{ji}}$ that commutes with all operators. By Propositions 15 and 16 there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{<\beta_{ji}}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})}$ of graded manifolds of type $\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}})$ compatible with φ' that also commutes with all operators. Our goal now is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 17. *There exists a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}_{=\beta_{ji}}$ of type $\Delta_{=\beta_{ji}}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{N}_{=\beta_{ji}}) \simeq \mathbf{D}_{=\beta_{ji}}$$

and this isomorphism say ψ commutes with all operators.

Proof. First of all note that ψ is an isomorphism of graded manifolds of type $\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}}$, see Proposition 14. Further, clearly we have

$$\Delta'_{=\beta_{ji}} = \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}) \cup S,$$

where S is the subset in Δ' that contains all weights in the form $\alpha_i + \sum\limits_{q=2}^{j} \beta_{qi} + \gamma$. We prove this proposition by induction on the length of γ. (Recall that the length $|\theta|$ of a multiplicity free weight θ is the number of summands in θ.)

If the set S is empty by Propositions 15 and 16 we are done. Assume that $S \neq \emptyset$. Denote by S_p the subset in S such that $|\gamma| = p$. So we have $S = \cup_{p \geq 0} S_p$. Let us take $\delta' \in S_p$, where $p \geq 0$, satisfying the following property: if δ' depends on β_{st}, then δ' depends also on α_t. (Note that by definition of Δ' we always can find such δ'.) In other words, if we put $\delta' = \sum\limits_{s} a_s(\delta')\alpha_s + \sum\limits_{pq} b_{pq}(\delta')\beta_{pq}$, then from $b_{st}(\delta') = 1$ it follows that $a_t(\delta') = 1$.

Step 1, construction of graded manifold. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\delta'_1 + \delta'_2 = \delta'} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_1} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_2} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\delta'} \to 0,$$

where $\delta'_i \neq 0$, for a certain locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\delta'}$. By definition of the category $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$, see Section 7.1, we have the following decomposition

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta') \neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}} + \bigoplus_{\delta'_1 + \delta'_2 = \delta'} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_1} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_2},$$

where $\delta_i \neq 0$. Hence the following sequence is also exact

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\delta'_1+\delta'_2=\delta'} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_2} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}} \xrightarrow{\iota}$$

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\delta'} \to 0.$$

By induction we assume that there is a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathsf{G}(\Delta'_{\mathcal{M}})$, where

$$\Delta'_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\Delta_{<\beta_{ji}}) \cup \bigcup_{q<p} S_q,$$

and an isomorphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbf{D}_{\Delta'_{\mathcal{M}}}$ that is compatible with φ and commutes with all operators. Here $\mathbf{D}_{\Delta'_{\mathcal{M}}}$ is again defined by Construction 1, Section 4.1.

We put $\delta := \mathsf{G}(\delta')$. By Proposition 9, there is an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta} \to \bigoplus_{\delta'_1+\delta'_2=\delta'} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'_2} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}}.$$

Note that $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta} = \bigoplus_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\delta} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta_2}$, where $\delta_i \neq 0$. Hence the following sequence is exact

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{\delta_1+\delta_2=\delta} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta_2} \xrightarrow{\iota\circ\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}\circ\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\delta'} \to 0.$$

Now we use Construction 2, Section 4.2 to build a graded manifold $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \mathsf{G}(S_p)$. In more details, we put

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_{\delta} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}}.$$

If there is $\lambda' \in S_p$, where $\lambda \neq \delta'$, satisfying the following property: if λ depends on β_{st}, then δ' depends also on α_t, then we repeat this construction and define $(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_{\lambda}$, where $\lambda = \mathsf{G}(\lambda')$. So we defined a graded manifold $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of type $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \mathsf{G}(S_p)$.

Step 2, construction of an isomorphism. Our goal now is to construct an isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi} : \mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) \to \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}$ is a graded manifold of type $\Delta'_{\mathcal{M}} \cup S_p$ that is defined by Construction 1, Section 4.1. We put $\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta} = (\varphi_{\mathcal{M}})_{\theta}$ for any $\theta \in \Delta'_{\mathcal{M}}$. To define $\widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'}$, where $\delta' \in S_p$ satisfy the following property: if δ' depends on β_{st}, then δ' depends also on α_t, we use the decomposition:

$$\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})}\big)_{\delta'} = \mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}\big((\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_{\delta}\big) + (\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} \circ \iota \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}) \bigoplus_{\delta'_1+\delta'_2=\delta'} \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})}\big)_{\delta'_1} \cdot \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})}\big)_{\delta'_2},$$

where $\delta'_i \neq 0$. Note that $\widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'}$ is already defined on the second summand. Further we put

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'}|_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_\delta\right)} : \mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_\delta\right) \to (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}})_{\delta'} \bigcap_{b_{j_s i_s}(\delta')\neq 0} \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{j_s i_s}}, \\
&\widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'}|_{\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}}\left((\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_\delta\right)} = (\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}})^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Since $\widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'}((\mathrm{D}^{\bar{\Lambda}} \circ \iota \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{M}})(f)) = (\iota \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{M}})(f)$, where

$$f \in \bigoplus_{\delta'_1+\delta'_2=\delta'} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})}\right)_{\delta'_1} \cdot \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{=\beta_{ji}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})}\right)_{\delta'_2},$$

the isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'}$ is well-defined.

Let us take any other $\theta' \in S_p$. Then there exists operators $\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1}, \dots, \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t}$ and a weight δ' as above such that $\theta' = \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t}(\delta')$. In this case we put

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta'} := (\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t}) \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t})^{-1}.$$

Note that the composition $\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t}$ is unique up to sign. Hence $\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta'}$ is well-defined. It can be easily shown that $(\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta'})$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 1. Therefore the following morphism of graded manifolds $\widetilde{\psi} = (\widetilde{\psi}_{\theta'})$, where $\theta' \in \Delta'_{\mathcal{M}} \cup S_p$, is defined.

Step 3, isomorphism commutes with the operators. It remains to show that

$$\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{\theta'} = \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\theta')} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \tag{42}$$

for $(s,t) \leq (j,i)$. If $\theta' \in \Delta'_{\mathcal{M}}$, then (42) holds by induction. Assume that $\theta' \in S_p$ and consider the following cases.

1. Assume that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\theta') \in \Delta'$. Then (42) follows by definition.

2. Assume that $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\theta') \notin \Delta'$. We also may assume that θ' depends on α_t, since otherwise (42) holds trivially. In this case θ' depends on β_{st} since otherwise $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\theta') \in \Delta'$.

2.1. Assume that θ' satisfy the following property: if θ' depends on β_{st}, then θ' depends also on α_t. By (41), the equality (42) holds by the decomposition and induction argument.

2.2. Assume that $\theta' = (\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t})(\theta'_1)$, where δ' is from (2.1). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{\theta'} = \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t}) \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{\delta'} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t})^{-1} = \\
&(\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t}) \circ \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\delta')} \circ (\mathrm{D}_{\gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{D}_{\gamma_t})^{-1} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}} = \widetilde{\psi}_{\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}(\theta')} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\beta_{st}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.□

Proposition 18. *Let* $\mathbf{D}$ *be an* r'*-fold vector bundle of type* Δ' *with a family of* $(r'-r)$ *odd commuting non-degenerate operators* $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ij}}$ *of weights* $\beta_{ji}-\alpha_i$*, where* $i=1,\dots,r$ *and* $j=1\dots,n_i$*, satisfying properties* $1-6$*, Section* 7.1*. Then there exists a graded manifold* $\mathcal{N}$ *of type* Δ *such that* $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{N})\simeq\mathbf{D}$*.*

Proof. The proof follows by induction from Propositions 15, 16 and 17.□

It is remaining to show that $\mathbb{F}$ is full and faithful, see Definition 7.

Proposition 19. *The functor* $\mathbb{F}$ *is full and faithful.*

Proof. Let us take two objects in the category $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$, i.e. two r'-fold vector bundles $\mathbf{D}_1$ and $\mathbf{D}_2$ of type Δ' and a morphism $\Psi:\mathbf{D}_1\to\mathbf{D}_2$ that commutes with all vector fields $\mathrm{D}_{\beta_{ji}}$. We have seen in Proposition 18 that there exist graded manifolds $\mathcal{N}_i$ of type Δ such that $\mathbf{D}_i\simeq\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{N}_i)$, where $i=1,2$.

Further, let us take two chats $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ on $\mathbf{D}_1$ and $\mathbf{D}_2$, respectively, such that we can consider the restriction $\Psi:\mathcal{U}_1\to\mathcal{U}_2$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_i$ the corresponding to $\mathcal{U}_i$ chart on $\mathcal{N}_i$. Assume that δ and δ' as in Proposition 9. By Proposition 3, we can chose coordinates $\zeta^{\delta'}$ and ξ^{δ} in $\mathcal{U}_2$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$, respectively, and there exists unique up to even permutation the operator $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}$ such that $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(\xi^{\delta})=\zeta^{\delta'}$. Consider $f=\Psi^*(\zeta^{\delta'})$. By Proposition 9 there exists unique function $F\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}_1}$ such that $\mathrm{D}^{\bar\Lambda}(F)=f$. Now we can define the morphism $\Phi|_{\mathcal{V}_1}:\mathcal{V}_1\to\mathcal{V}_2$ by $\Phi(\xi^{\delta}):=F$. (Note that for any $\delta\in\Delta$ there exists $\delta'\in\Delta'$ as in Proposition 9.) Since function F if unique, the morphisms $\Phi|_{\mathcal{V}_p}$ coincide in all intersections $\mathcal{V}_s\cap\mathcal{V}_t$ and defone the global morphism Φ. Clearly, $\mathbb{F}(\Phi)=\Psi$. The proof is complete.□

Now we can formulate our main result.

Theorem 2. *The categories* $\Delta\mathsf{Man}$ *and* $\Delta'\mathsf{VBVect}$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. The proof follows from Propositions 18 and 19.□

Elizaveta Vishnyakova
University of São Paulo, Brazil, and
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil
E-mail address: VishnyakovaE@googlemail.com

References

[BGG] *Bruce A., Grabowska K., Grabowski J.* Linear duals of graded bundles and higher analogues of (Lie) algebroids, J. Geom. Phys. 101 (2016), 71-99.

[BGR] *Bruce A., Grabowski J. and Rotkiewicz M.* Polarisation of graded bundles. SIGMA 12 (2016), 106, 30 pages

[BCMZ] *Bursztyn, H., Cattaneo, A., Mehta R. and Zambon M.* Reduction of Courant algebroids via supergeometry. Work in progress.

[BCMZ] *Bursztyn, H., Cavalcanti, G. R. and Gualtieri, M.* Reduction of Courant algebroids and generalized complex structures, Advances in Mathematics 211(2) (2007), 726-765.

[CM] *del Carpio-Marek. F.* Geometric structure on degree 2 manifolds. PhD-thesis, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2015.

[Cou] *Courant, T.* Dirac manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (1990), 631-661.

[GR] *Grabowski, J., Rotkiewicz, M.* Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds, J. Geom. Phys., Volume 59, Issue 9, 2009, Pages 1285-1305.

[GrM] *Gracia-Saz A., Mackenzie K.C.H.* Duality functors for triple vector bundles, Lett. Math. Phys. 90 (2009), 175-200.

[GrM] *Gracia-Saz, A. and Mehta, R.A.* Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids, Advances in Mathematics 223 (4), 2010, Pages 1236-1275.

[Gu] *Gualtieri, M.* Generalized complex geometry, Ann. of Math. Volume 174 (2011), Issue 1 (2011), Pages 75-123.

[KS] *Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.* Courant Algebroids. A Short History. SIGMA 9 (2013), 014, 8 pages.

[JL] *Jotz Lean, M.* N-manifolds of degree 2 and metric double vector bundles, arXiv:1504.00880.

[L] *Leites D.A.* Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1980, Volume 35, Issue 1(211), 3-57

[LB] *Li-Bland, D.S.* LA-Courant algebroids and their applications. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto, 2012.

[LS] *Lyakhovich S.L., Sharapov A.A.* Characteristic classes of gauge systems Nucl. Phys. B703 (2004) 419-453, e-Print: hep-th/0407113.

[Man] *Manin Yu.I.* Gauge field theory and complex geometry, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 289, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1988, 1997.

[M1] *Mackenzie, K.C.H.* General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. Volume 213 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[M2] *Mackenzie, K.C.H.* Ehresmann doubles and Drinfel'd doubles for Lie algebroids and Lie bialgebroids. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume 2011, Issue 658 (2011).

[R] *Roytenberg, D.* On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids. Contemp. Math., Vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2002)

[S] *Ševera, P.* Some title containing the words "homotopy" and "symplectic", e.g. this one, Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XVI (2005), Pages 121-137

[Va] *Vaintrob A.* Lie algebroids and homological vector fields, Rossiĭskaya Akademiya Nauk. Moskovskoe Matematicheskoe Obshchestvo. Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk, 52, 2(314), 1997, 161-162.

[Vit] *Vitagliano L.* Vector bundle valued differential forms on Q-manifolds. Pacific Journal of Mathematics 283, 2 (2016) 449-482.

[Vo1] *Voronov, Th.Th.* Q-Manifolds and Mackenzie Theory. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 2012, Volume 315, Issue 2, pp 279-310.

[Vo2] *Voronov, Th.Th.* Graded manifolds and Drinfeld doubles for Lie bialgebroids. Quantization, Poisson Brackets and Beyond. Volume 315 of Contemp. Math., Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 2002, pp. 131-168